# VALENCIA COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

# **REGULAR MEETING**

# June 19, 2000

# <u>MINUTES</u>

CHAIRWOMAN ALICIA AGUILAR Called THE MEETING TO ORDER ON JUNE 19, 2000 at 4:45 PM.

PRESENT	ABSENT
Alicia Aguilar, Chairman	
Aurelio H. Padilla, Vice-Chairman	
S. T. Frank Pando, Member	
Eloy Giron, Member	
Helen Baca, Member	
James Fernandez, County Manager	
Thomas Garde, County Attorney	
Kandy Cordova, County Clerk	
Press and Public	

## **EXECUTIVE SESSION**

County Clerk Kandy Cordova read the agenda for Executive Session. Commissioner Pando requested that Mr. Maes be added to the land acquisition item for an update. Mr. Garde stated that it could be discussed in Executive Session under a potential sale of property. He stated that at this point what he would be doing is advising the Commission on the steps to be taken in the situation of selling County land. He said that what he could do is discuss the matter with the County Manager and then he in turn could send a memo out. Chairman Aguilar clarified the potential action of the County Attorney and the County Manager to pursue the matter, which counsel agreed would be more appropriate. Commissioner Pando then moved the acceptance of the Agenda for Executive Session. Chairman Aguilar then moved the addition of Dr. Froman under EMS Personnel and also Mr. Louis Pena of the Road Department. Commissioner Pando moved to accept the agenda as amended. Motion was

Seconded by Commissioner Padilla. Motion carried unanimously.

Chairman Aguilar greeted the audience and informed them the Commission was returning from Executive Session and the agenda, which they considered. She then requested that because of the lengthy agenda she would entertain a motion to continue the Executive Session later in the evening but now go into the regular session of the meeting. Commissioner Pando moved the motion with a second by Commissioner Padilla to continue the Executive Session and go into the Regular meeting. Motion carried unanimously. Chairman Aguilar informed the County Clerk that Commissioner Giron had joined the other commissioners in Executive Session almost immediately upon them convening.

## PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Mr. Leonard Garcia led the Pledge of Allegiance.

#### APPROVAL OF AGENDA

County Clerk Kandy Cordova read the agenda as presented. Commissioner Giron moved to amend the Agenda to move Public Requests to M (m), Item C to item A and insert an Executive Session between C and D. Motion as made by Commissioner Giron and seconded by Commissioner Pando, which carried unanimously.

#### **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

The minutes of June 5, 2000, Regular, and June 9, 2000 Special meeting, which were the Canvass on the Primary Election, were presented for Commission approval. Motion was made by Commissioner Pando to approve which was seconded by Commissioner Padilla. The motion carried unanimously.

## **PUBLIC REQUESTS**

Moved to Item M on the Agenda.

#### **ACTION ITEMS**

#### (C) (As moved on the Agenda) BRIDGE UPDATE

Dave Pennington of the engineering firm of Parsons-Brinckerhoff, addressed the Commission with an update of the river-crossing study and the findings as summarized in a report, **(See Exhibit A-I, Exhibit A-2 and Exhibit A-3).** 

He stated further that copies of the report have been provided to the County as well as other members of the management team who will be meeting Wednesday to develop the recommendation that is being reviewed now by the Highway Department, by the Federal Highway Administration and local jurisdictions including the City of Belen, Village of Los Lunas and of course the County Commission and their staffs.

He stated further that there were just a few items he wanted to cover and then She would open it up for questions. He went on to state that the handout that had been provided, provides the findings, several key finds, the first being the operation of our roadway system in future years. He stated further that this is critical for the basis for this study and would be important information.

He stated that just in general what they found based on the traffic analysis with a 20-year future condition, with and without a new connection between I-25 and New Mexico 47 is that overall the transportation system within the central portion of Valencia County fails. He stated that they looked at both the signalized intersections, which there's only several within the central part of the County and they also looked at the basic roadway segments. He stated that it is not unusual for signalized intersections to fail under high traffic demand but that it is extremely unusual for basic roadway segments to fail. He further stated that by basic roadway segments he was talking about the portions of roadway between signalized intersections. He stated that typically the greatest problems occur where you have stock conditions and that's at the signals, however, what they found is that almost all of New Mexico 6 between I-25 and the Valencia Y fails within the future year and this is at the morning peak hour and the evening peak hour. He stated further that the segment of New Mexico 47 starting just north of the Valencia Y, through Bosque Farms is also projected to fail and by fail they mean what they call a mellowed service average which means you will be sitting in traffic. He stated that portions of the Interstate are also projected to fail and there are a couple of short segments of New Mexico 47 and 309 in the area through Belen that are also projected to fail.

He further stated that with the proposed improvements of a roadway between I-25 and 47, independent of alignment, there are improvements to the system; however because the failure is widespread and so severe that several major road segments will fail even with the proposed river crossing; however improvements are noted on New Mexico 6, congestion is not as bad even though there is some congestion, the portion of New Mexico 47 through Valencia and Peralta, Bosque Farms is also expected to fail and several intersections will fail. He stated further that what this analysis tells them from traffic engineering; from transportation planning point of view is that not only is the proposed roadway from I-25 to Highway 47 needed but you also have a need for other major improvements to your transportation system within the 20-year time frame. He stated that the question about the need for this project is very clear in their minds. He said further that the State Highway Department staff and the Federal Highway Administration officials are evaluating their findings. He said that some other important parts of this is the amount of right of way acquisition and families that would be displaced by this and as they have said from the very beginning this type of project cannot be implemented without having adverse impacts and there are impacts. He said that as we look at the southern alignment, the alignment that they have been calling A-2, the one that goes through Los Chavez and between Adelino and Tome, it acquires about between 90 to 100 acres, depending on the option. He stated further that they have looked both at the intersection option at New Mexico 3l4 along with the Interchange option. He said that when you add the Interchange it does require I20 acres. He said that of those I20 acres about I00 of them are farmlands so it does result in the conversion of farmlands to roadway rights of way. He said that when you look at the northern alternative it acquires between about 84 acres to 104 acres of right of way so it does have less right of way impact but it also acquires farmlands of about 72 acres so it is about 25% farmland. He said that another important finding of the analysis is how many families would be displaced and that they had looked at buildings that would need to be acquired and how many families would be displaced through the loss of those buildings. He said that the southern alignment would displace between 15 to 21 families which involved about 15 structures but several of them are apartment buildings, the apartments just south of Toby's Doors and so that plums the total number to up to about 2l families. He said that in the northern alignment there is about 7 families would be displaced so that it is substantially less. He stated that along this other factors that were evaluated, overall what they found is that the northern alignment is the preferred alignment between the two, notwithstanding the no-build alternative. He said that it has far fewer impacts to the surrounding communities, fewer environmental impacts and overall performs slightly better than the southern alignment. He said that there will be a recommendation, assuming that the Highway Department Review Team does concur with our findings to advance the northern alignment into an environmental impact statement along with the no build alternative.

He stated that one other quick update was that he was asked about the survey results of the questionnaire that was distributed several weeks ago. He said that they did distribute about 14,000 copies of that survey and had about a 7% return which is typical for this type of survey and of those who responded, the ones they received back, about 75% supported the need for a new connection between I-25 and 47, I5% of those who responded supported the nobuild option or said that they did not agree with the need, and the remaining I0% did not answer the question or answered that they had no opinion on it. He said that the findings generally showed that the further north the communities were, the stronger the support so that is also consistent with the recommendation for the northern alignment. He then said he would answer any questions and thanked the Commission.

Chairman Aguilar then stated to Mr. Pennington a clarification on the manner in which the process would proceed by stating that he had given the Commission an update and that there will be public hearings allowing everyone in the County to review and ask questions on your study before a final decision is made. Mr. Pennington responded that the report to the Commission was to give Commissioners a quick over-view on the analysis findings because we know that everyone is anxious to hear the results but because they have not had a complete review by the review teams that ultimately form the recommendations they cannot now come forward and say that these are the specific recommendations but that it is fairly clear in which direction they are headed and there should be no surprises and the review team will probably made a decision this Wednesday, will probably give us direction this Wednesday, at which point they will finalize the report, make it available for public review and schedule a series of public information meetings. Chairman Aguilar than requested that Mr. Pennington come back to the Commission with those results to which Mr. Pennington agreed.

Commissioner Pando addressed the Chair by stating that there was enough interest expressed on the subject matter to allow for the questions of the audience but requested that a I5 minute time limit be placed because of the lengthy agenda. Chairman Aguilar than requested that the persons wishing to question Mr. Pennington stand in the order in which they would participate

Ben Smith, Vice President of the Tome-Adelino Association, inquired if this was the second study to which Mr. Pennington replied that it was not necessarily the second phase but that if it goes to the preparation of the environmental impact statement that would be, their contract allows them to go to that phase, contract extension by the Highway Department, or a contract amendment. Mr. Smith then said, "So in other words if you guys held going to the next phase it would cost you income? is that correct?" Mr. Pennington replied that this was not correct because the final decision is not made until the conclusion of the environmental impact statement, a decision is never made to that point so it makes absolutely no difference.

William Dean, from Los Chavez, stated that he had moved here about 10 years ago because of the beautiful community and the wide open spaces and what worried him was that every time you build a major roadway it seems like people build houses around it and the urban sprawl just goes mad any time you build a major roadway and that he has had the opportunity to carry petitions in the southern part of Los Chavez and has talked to over 200 people and said that very few of them are in favor of Mr. Pennington's type of bridge. He stated that a lot of them would like to have a bridge between 47 and 3l4 and that there is a road called Silver Road and that there used to be a bridge at some point that would relieve the pressure on the people who have to go north or come south and you could cross in the middle and that there really is no need to go to I-25. He continued by saying that if we build the bridge as proposed we will be adding an awful lot more houses and make more congestion and that if could keep the growth down and just improve 3l4 and improve 47 and the Manzano Expressway we could do a lot to take care of our own roads.

Jim Miller inquired the original cost of the project and what is today's cost. Mr. Pennington replied that the amount of funding that the bonding authority was authorized for was 24 Million dollars and that the most recent cost estimates, depending on whether there is an interchange at New Mexico 3l4 and whether or not the roadway would be elevated or built at grade range is in price from about 38 Million to 50 Million Dollars and so it is substantially higher than earlier cost estimates. He then requested a moment to verify the cost estimates. He then stated that it was about 39 Million to 51 million dollars.

Pam McKenzie, Valencia County Citizens for Responsible Growth, inquired as to the survey, which she said, fascinated her. She then stated her analysis of the manner in which the way the survey was conducted and attacked the validity. Mr. Pennington replied that her question was a good one and agreed that her interpretation could be arguable but that they had never intended that this be a statistically valid survey but they wanted to get a sense of what the community was thinking and that it was useful information for them to gauge the sentiment but that it in no way will be used for them to make a decision or recommendation because the recommendations will be made mostly on the technical analysis with full consideration of public comment.

Pat Eichhorst, Tome resident, stated that the County Commission had voted against the bridge and that at a recent conference at UNM Valencia Campus the Mayor of Bosque Farms had made the statement that the bridge would have absolutely no affect on Bosque Farms and Ronnie Torres said that that bridge would have absolutely no affect on Belen but that he thought that Los Lunas needed that bridge, but, he continued he has not seen any evidence that putting a bridge five miles south of here is going to help the congestion in Los Lunas. He continued with his comments and Chairman Aguilar interjected that she has

never supported the A-2 option and would not support an option that splits Los Chavez in the middle. Mr.Eichhorst then mentioned the C-1 option and Mr. Pennington was then questioned by Chairman Aguilar on the mileage of option C-I. Mr. Pennington replied that the C-I option is 2.4 miles south. Mr. Eichhorst continued his statement regarding the driving pattern of the school children.

Commissioner Pando inquired of Mr. Pennington as to a book referenced in his report and that he had mentioned that some of the county officials had a copy of it but that he had never seen it. Mr. Pennington replied that the book is the Phase B or detail evaluation alternatives report prepared in accordance with Federal and State procedures. We have a review team that includes a member of the County staff and that is Mr. Steven Chavez and Ruben. He stated that he had also sent a copy to the County Manager so that the County has received two copies of it. He stated further that after it is reviewed and finalized because it is a draft report until the Federal Highway Administration and the New Mexico State Highway Transportation Department Management Review team. Commissioner Pando stated that the bridge will not work and referred to his vote against the project. He stated further that he has received numerous calls from his constituents who were critical of his vote until he explained that this road would only get to Highway 47 and they apologized and we are all of the same opinion that if we're going to build a bridge let's do it right or don't do it at all. His comments brought applause from the audience. Mr. Pennington replied to Commissioner Pando's statement by saying that they, with his recommendations and in fact a draft recommendation within the report is that the proposed the roadway should be extended to the Manzano Expressway. He stated further that it not essential that be done as part of this particular study but a follow-up study should be done to complete that analysis and agreed that it would function better in the long term if it were extended all the way to the Manzano Expressway. Chairman Aguilar stated that she begged to differ with Commissioner Pando on Commissioner Pando's assertion that the project should be expanded to the Manzano Expressway at this time. She stated that she sees this proposal as "making the elephant bigger" and suggested that since they are dealing with a project that has been in the making for eight years it would best to deal with what is available at this time, let the study continue on its present course and then evaluate the results. Chairman Aguilar reminded the Commission that there remains the option of no build and that after the study is completed would be the time to assess and decide the course of action best for the County and that at time it may very well entail going back to the drawing board. She stressed the importance of preserving the historical and cultural significance of Tome Hill. Her comments were also followed by applause from the audience. She stated further that she would like to see that in the form of an Ordinance. I.

Mr. Pennington responded to the comments of Commissioners Pando and Aguilar, by stating the clarification that another study would need to be extended to the Manzano Expressway. He said that how this occurs is it could occur under numerous alignments and that's why he said that a follow-up study should be looked to identify what the best extension route would be. He said that ideally a direct connection would be most favorable; however it would or could have adverse impact to the properties, the Tome Hill and other cultural resources so what needs to be evaluated is exactly how traffic from the east mesa makes its way to this crossing. Chairman Aguilar stated that this doesn't necessarily mean it would be an extension of the freeway, that the County can, through its own planning, identify arterials where we have growth, we can look at different options, the option is not just extending the freeway. Mr. Pennington responded that there are many ways the east mesa could be brought down to 47 or other points north.

Commissioner Padilla stated that it seems to him that what is needed is a comprehensive study to address every issue of this building of the bridge just like we're working a comprehensive study for the County. Mr. Pennington stated he agreed with him wholeheartedly that the County needs a comprehensive transportation plan that would look at future growth. He stated that for this particular effort it was comprehensive. He stated that while this project will not solve all the needs for the County but that it is a very good start and a very important link to the transportation system. Commissioner Padilla referred to a statement made by Senator Michael Sanchez with regard to the location of the bridge. His comments also were received by the audience with applause. Audience member, Rita Padilla Gutierrez, also referred to a survey of Senator Sanchez' and requested that that survey be considered. She further asserts that a financial benefit to Mr. Pennington's company might have some significance to the proposal.

Commissioner Giron stated that he too had been inundated with calls after the Commission vote and inquires from Mr. Pennington what it would take from the Commission to have a study that would go all the way to the east mesa because that is where the biggest problem is. He further stated that he couldn't personally agree with the plan before the Commission. Mr. Pennington replied that such a request should be made by the County Commission and presented to the District 3 Engineer and the general office of State Transportation Department so they can program the necessary funds for the study. Commissioner Pando stated that during the last election the proposition was advanced that perhaps there should be a Referendum to the voters to vote on an issue of this magnitude and that he kind of agrees with this idea and that in this manner the Commission would get the true numbers. He further inquires of Mr. Pennington as to what the status of their company be in continuing their work if the project would stop or continue. Mr. Pennington replied that he would refer

the answer to that question to the management of the State Highway Department because it is their decision whether to continue or not continue with any particular effort and of course that is with input from the Federal Highway Administration. He stated that the idea of a Referendum is not new. A discussion then ensued over where the tax dollars for projects come from. Commissioner Giron then questioned County Attorney Tom Garde regarding the Commission's authority for a Referendum. County Attorney Garde responded stated that he didn't have the answer to that question but that he would research. Chairman Aguilar stated that there was a study done north of Los Lunas that cost the County taxpayers \$250,000.00, the option by those people who got involved in the no-build, they pushed it on us and so that is why we are all here today and they said, take it south, we don't want it in our back yard. She stated that now we're saying, oh, let's just say we don't want it in our back yard, let's shove it into somebody else's back yard. She stated that we should wait for the study, make sure we have public hearings, and look at all the information and get answers to our questions and go from there.

Mr. Leonard Garcia inquires of Mr. Pennington what good would continuing the bridge to the east mesa, would it give anything to the people of the east mesa or would it just be helping the developers get more people up on the east mesa. Mr. Pennington responded that it would affect all county residents as well as the developers as well.

Commissioner Pando makes a motion to go into Executive Session, which is seconded by Commissioner Giron. Motion carried.

#### **EXECUTIVE SESSION**

Motion to return from Executive Session by Commissioner Padilla, seconded by Commissioner Baca. Motion carried.

Carol Anaya reported discussion in Executive Session included the following personnel items:

- 1. Position/Status Change (Probationary to Non-Probationary): Reyna Carrillo, Ruben Chavez, Robert Haase, Dolores Niles.
- 2. Position/Status change (Probationary to Non-Probationary with salary adjustment: Edward Gonzales.
- 3. End of Seasonal (Early Voting) Employment: Luz Chavez, Cecile Gomez, Charles Wright, Marie Mendoza, Evangeline Tafoya, Marilyn Hotchkiss, Sandra Howtz, Theresa Hunter.

Commissioner Pando made a motion to ratify personnel recommendations, which was seconded by Commissioner Giron. Motion carried.

County Attorney Tom Garde reported that there had been a discussion regarding the pending lawsuit of Matthew Otero, the Gleason lawsuit and no action was taken on those items. He stated that they also discussed existing contracts within the detention center and direction was given to myself regarding those contracts.

County Manager James Fernandez reported discussion in terms of land acquisition of the property west of the courthouse but no action was taken on it He also reported that the architects for the adult detention facility met with the Commission to update them on the status of the construction of the project indicating that the facility will be completed by the end of September and the Commissioners did make the architects aware of concerns they had that they felt were deficiencies that needed to be addressed. Chairman Aguilar stated that also discussed was the operation of the facility. Mr. Fernandez stated that he inquired of Commissioners regarding a letter of intent to Cornell Industries for the operation of the facility, all of this being subject to the final approval of the Board of County Commissioners as well as the appropriate agencies which include the Department of Finance and Administration, the Finance Authority and the Attorney General. Chairman Aguilar than polled the membership to give direction to Administration and counsel to proceed with Cornell Industries to bring back a contract and work out the details to have them manage and operate our facility. Commissioner Giron makes a motion to proceed with the process on the recommendation of County Manager Fernandez. Commissioner Pando seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

Audience member, Armand Rudolph, a counselor at the County Detention Center, stated that he very much opposes the operation of the facility by a private firm. He stated the reasons he felt this way and the Commissioners expressed their appreciation for his concerns.

Audience member, Janet Jarrett, inquired as to how the contract was awarded to Cornell, and County Attorney Tom Garde replied that the process is being carried out under the statutes of the State of New Mexico. Commissioner Pando stated that the Warden for the facility is a Valencia County resident and taxpayer. Chairman Aguilar stated that the new warden has already established a relationship with the County and Counsel Garde stated that Cornell would require standards above what the State of New Mexico requires. Commissioner Pando makes a motion that this is all that was discussed in Executive Session, seconded by Commissioner Giron. Motion carried.

#### **ACTION ITEMS**

(C) Request Assistance from Valencia County Road Department to fix Curfman & Franklin Roads.

Clarence Mora of Los Chavez addressed the Commission requesting assistance of a road condition which exists at the intersection of Curfman and Franklin roads which is detailed in a letter of July 20,2000 and marked as Exhibit B. (Actual photographs furnished are also marked Exhibit B) After discussion with Road Department Director Louis Pena and Mike McCartney the Commissioners directed Administration to follow up on further inspection of the condition and report back to them.

(B) Presentation on Water Planning Process & Public Involvement.

Bob Wessely, Action Committee of the Middle Rio Grande Water Assembly, addressed the Commission and provided two pamphlets entitled Water Budget-Averages for 1972-1997 and Middle Rio Grande Water Assembly. He explained the history of the organization and showed several slides in conjunction with his address. After inquiries by Commissioners and replies from Mr. Wessely, Chairman expressed the appreciation of the Commission for the information and report to the Commission.

Commissioner Pando made a motion to convene as The Indigent Board, seconded by Commissioner Giron. Motion carries.

#### (D) Indigent Report

Barbara Baker, Administrator of the Valencia County Indigent, Insurance & Loss Prevention presented claims submitted of \$239,709.69. She requested that they approve \$85,385.13 and deny \$154,324.56. After inquiry by Commissioners, Commissioner Giron moved to approve the report, seconded by Commissioner Pando. Motion carries.

(See Exhibit C)

Motion to reconvene as County Commission made by Commissioner Giron, second by Commissioner Pando. Motion carries.

#### (E) Name an Unknown Road in Highland Meadows/Major Boulevard.

Mike McCartney addresses the Commission and informs them of the packet (Exhibit D), which outlines the request. Commissioner Baca inquires as to prior Commission policy that roads would not name roads after individuals. Mr. McCartney responds that it has to be a unanimous vote. Commissioner Baca makes a motion to deny the request. Motion seconded by Commissioner Padilla. Commissioner Pando inquires as to whether it is a county or a private road and he replies it is a private road and an alternative route into a subdivision. Motion carries.

# (F)Request Permission to Publish Amendments to Valencia County Comprehensive Ordinance 99-07 (Exhibits E and F)

Mike McCartney requests permission to Publish. Motion to approve made by Commissioner Pando, seconded by Commissioner Padilla. Motion carries. (Exhibit E)

Mike McCartney requests permission to Publish. Motion to approve made by Commissioner Pando, seconded by Commissioner Padilla. Motion carries. (Exhibit F)

#### (G) End of Fiscal Year 1999-2000 Report/Planning & Zoning and Animal Control (Exhibit G)

Motion to approve by Commissioner Pando, seconded by Commissioner Padilla. Motion carries. Commissioner Pando praises Mr. McCartney for his good work.

#### (H) Solid Waste Haulers Update (Exhibit H)

County Manager James Fernandez submits report (Exhibit H). Commissioner Giron regarding information being furnished to the individual haulers and Chairman Aguilar regarding liability makes inquiries. Counsel Garde responds to the conditions that are in the ordinance and advises there is no change in the ordinance such as compliance with the DOT regulations and making payments timely. He states further that one of the deficiencies in the ordinance is the lack of someone to do the inspections. County Manager Fernandez explains each hauler's status. Commissioner Pando inquired about the number of accounts being served. County Manager Fernandez commented on the numbers.

#### (I) Award Bid for Medical Director (Exhibit I)

County Manager Fernandez recommended the approval of Bid for Medical Director Philip J. Froman. (Exhibit I) Motion to approve by Commissioner Giron, seconded by Commissioner Baca. Motion carries.

## (J) Bi-Monthly County Manager's Report (Exhibits J-1, J-2, J-3, J-4)

County Manager James Fernandez submitted to the Commission the Village of Los Lunas Housing Office Section and Date Presentation of June 5, 2000, (Exhibit J-I), a Petition signed by residents of Jarales regarding Mill Road (Exhibit J-2), a letter dated June 8, 2000 to Chairman Aguilar from Molzen-Corbin & Associates (Exhibit J-3) regarding the Rio Grande Estates Volunteer Fire Department Drainage Improvements and a letter of June I2, 2000 from the County Manager to Michael J. Magee regarding speed humps on Nancy Street. After inquiries by Commissioners and clarification by the County Manager on the update, Chairman Aguilar thanked Mr. Fernandez.

#### (K) Warrants

Carlos Montoya presented the warrants for Commission approval. Commissioner Giron made the motion for approval, which was seconded by Commissioner Baca. Motion carried. (Warrants are available at the County Clerk's Office)

#### (L) Request Approval of Resolutions (Exhibits L, M, N, O, P, Q)

Carlos Montoya presented Resolutions 33-2000, Intra Budget Transfers, 34-2000, Inter Budget Transfers, 35-2000, Budget Increases, 36-2000, Permanent Cash Transfers/Budget Increases, 37-2000, Permanent Cash Transfers/Budget Increases, and 38-2000, Budget Increase, for approval. The Commissioners questioned Mr. Montoya on certain items within each resolution, which was clarified by him. Commissioner Giron moved the approval of Resolution 33-2000, seconded by Commissioner Baca. Motion carried. Commissioner Giron moved the approval of Resolution 34-2000, seconded by Commissioner Padilla. Motion carried. Commissioner Padilla moved the approval of Resolution 35-2000, seconded by Commissioner Giron. Motion carried. Commissioner Baca moved the approval of Resolution 36-2000, seconded by Commissioner Padilla. Motion carried. Commissioner Baca moved the approval of Resolution 37-2000, seconded by Commissioner Padilla. Motion carried. Commissioner Padilla.

#### NEXT MEETING

The next Regular Meeting of the Valencia County Board of County Commission will be held on July 10, 2000, at 10:00 AM in the County Commission Room at the Valencia County Courthouse

#### ADJOURNMENT

Commissioner Pando made a motion to adjourn the July 19, 2000, Regular Meeting of the Valencia County Board of County Commissioners. Commissioner Baca seconded motion. Motion carried.

**NOTE:** All proposals, documents, items, etc., pertaining to items on the agenda of the June 19, 2000, Regular Meeting (presented to the Board of County Commissioners) are attached in consecutive order as stated in these minutes.

## VALENCIA COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

SS AURELIO H. PADILLA, VICE-CHAIRMAN SS S. T. FRANK PANDO, MEMBER SS ELOY GIRON, MEMBER SS HELEN BACA, MEMBER

ATTEST: ss

KANDY CORDOVA, CLERK

DATE: July 10, 2000

For an official copy of these minutes and attachments see Commission Book 37 page 392